

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/781,718	FUJIKAMI, JUN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	

All Participants:

(1) Ishwar (I. B.) Patel.

(2) David A. Bluemethal (Reg. 26,257).

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Being allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-19

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 ISHWAR PATEL
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant and asked to amend claim 1 to avoid possible objection to claim 1. Also asked to cancel newly added claims 18 and 19 as they were directed to a different invention, a method of manufacturing a superconducting cable. The product claims examined earlier are considered elected by original presentation. Applicant's representative gave authorization to amend claim 1 and cancel claims 18 and 19 by examiner's amendment. .